Monte Carlo Simulations of Thermal Conductivity in Nanoporous Si Membranes

Stefanie Wolf¹, Neophytos Neophytou^{1,2}, Zlatan Stanojevic¹, and Hans Kosina¹

¹Institute for Microelectronics, Technical University of Vienna, Austria ²School of Engineering, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK {wolf | neophytou | stanojevic | <u>kosina}@iue.tuwien.ac.at</u>

Abstract

We present a Monte Carlo (MC) study of heat transport in Si nanomeshes. Phonons are treated semiclassically as particles of specific energy and velocity that undergo phonon-phonon scattering and boundary scattering on the surfaces of the nanomesh pores. We investigate the influence of: i) geometric parameters such as the pore arrangement/randomness and porosity and, ii) the roughness amplitude of the pore surfaces on the thermal conductivity of the nanomeshes. We show that the nanomesh porosity has a strong detrimental influence on the thermal conductivity. Boundary roughness still degrades the thermal conductivity, but its influence is smaller.

Keywords

phonon; Boltzmann-Transport-Equation; Monte-Carlo; nanoporous silicon; nanomesh; thermoelectrics

Introduction

Nanoporous membranes made of single-crystalline Si (referred to as nanomeshes) [1, 2, 3] are promising candidates for thermoelectric materials as they can provide extremely low thermal conductivity κ , relatively high thermoelectric power factors, and the structure stability that other low-dimensional systems are lacking. Since Si is an abundant, non-toxic material with well-established manufacturing processes, such structures, once optimized, could provide the feasibility of large-scale applications. Recently, room temperature *ZT* values up to 0.4 were demonstrated in nanomeshes, a large increase compared to bulk $ZT_{bulk} \sim 0.01$ [2]. To understand the parameters which determine the thermal conductivity in nanomeshes, involved simulation work is required. In this work we calculate the thermal conductivity of nanoporous single crystalline Si membranes by solving the Boltzmann transport equation (BTE) for phonons using the Monte Carlo (MC) method [4, 5]. We describe the theoretical methodology, and examine the influence of geometry including pore arrangement (rectangular, hexagonal, random), and material porosity, as well as the influence of boundary scattering on the thermal conductivity as well, but its effect is weaker.

Theory

The geometry of the devices is defined and a tetrahedral simulation grid is created using the 'global TCAD solutions' (GTS) framework [6]. The devices consist of a simulation domain (channel) and two thermal contacts, i.e.

a heat source and a heat sink which act as black bodies. The MC technique is described in detail in Refs [4, 5] with the difference that we use a single-particle scheme meaning only one phonon is simulated at each time. Each separate phonon is traced from its initialization in the contacts, through its drift in the channel, and finally up to its thermalization in the contacts. The MC algorithm accounts for longitudinal acoustic (LA) and transversal acoustic (TA) polarizations and nonlinear dispersion relations. As shown in Fig. 1, following the work of Ref. [7], we use $\omega(k) = v_s k + ck^2$ as a fit for the bulk dispersion relation under the isotropic Brillouin zone approximation, where *k* is the wave vector norm and v_s and *c* are fitting parameters to match the thermal conductivity of bulk Si in the [100] direction. These dispersion coefficients are taken from Ref. [7] as $v_s = 9.01 \times 10^3$ m/s and c=-2 x 10⁻⁷ m²/s for the LA branch, and $v_s = 5.23 \times 10^3$ m/s and c=-2.26 x 10⁻⁷ m²/s for the TA branch.



Fig. 1: Dispersion relations for longitudinal acoustic (LA) phonons in red-solid, and transversal acoustic (TA) phonons in green-dashed.

The simulation steps are as follows: A phonon is initialized at a random position in the contacts according to the local temperature *T*. Initialization involves sampling of the phonon frequency, wave-vector, polarization and group velocity. The group velocity is defined as the slope of the dispersion relation and is co-directional with the wave-vector. The phonon is injected in the channel and alternates between free flight and scattering events. During the free flight phase, phonons move linearly in time. Boundary scattering does not change the phonon frequency, but only its direction. In order to implement surface roughness we use a constant specularity parameter *p* ranging from 0 to 1 to treat specular or diffusive boundary scattering, respectively. If a phonon reaches a device boundary a random number 0 < R < 1 is drawn. If R > p, then the phonon direction is randomly reset, otherwise the phonon is specularly reflected.

Three-phonon scattering is treated using phonon lifetimes as functions of frequency and temperature T for both polarization branches as described in Ref [8]:

$$\tau_{\rm LA}^{-1} = B_{\rm NU}^{\rm LA} \omega^2 T^3 \tag{1a}$$

$$\tau_{\mathrm{TA},\mathrm{N}}^{-1} = B_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{TA}} \omega T^{4} \tag{1b}$$

$$\tau_{\mathrm{TA},\mathrm{U}}^{-1} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \omega < \omega_{\mathrm{l/2}} \\ B_{\mathrm{U}}^{\mathrm{TA}} \omega^2 / \sinh\left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{k_B T}\right) & \text{for } \omega \ge \omega_{\mathrm{l/2}} \end{cases}$$
(1c)

where N represents the normal and U the Umklapp processes, $\omega_{1/2}$ is the frequency corresponding to $k=k_{max}/2$ and $B_{\rm NU}^{\rm LA} = 2 \times 10^{-24} \, s \, / \, K^3$, $B_{\rm N}^{\rm TA} = 9.3 \times 10^{-13} K^{-4}$ and $B_{\rm U}^{\rm TA} = 5.5 \times 10^{-18} \, s$ taken from Ref [8]. After a threephonon scattering event the phonon frequency and direction is reset, whereas after a normal process the frequency and the magnitudes of the wavevector and velocity are reset, following the scheme described in Ref. [5]. Since we use a single-phonon simulation approach, when updating the phonon energy, in order not to violate energy conservation, we add the energy difference to the energy of the simulation domain cell in which the scattering event has taken place. Thus, the cell energy and temperature are modified accordingly [5]. If the phonon reaches the outward boundary at the thermal contacts it is absorbed and the simulation continues with a newly created phonon. This sequence is repeated until the cell temperature difference between iterations is below the error tolerance. After reaching steady-state (a linear temperature gradient is established between the hot and cold ends) we calculate the heat flux by sequentially injecting a prescribed number of phonons (N) first from the source, into the device. This is done one phonon at a time, and the typical number of phonons used is $N \sim 4 \ge 10^6$. Our simulations indicate that it is enough to provide a convergence in the calculation of thermal conductivity. We sum the phonons' incident energy to E_{in}^{H} . As the phonons travel through the device, they engage in three-phonon scattering which can alter their frequency and direction. The energy of all back-scattered phonons (leaving the device through the source contact) is summed up to E_{out}^{H} . Additionally, we calculate the average time that it takes for a phonon to travel the distance through the device (time-of-flight (TOF)). Then we repeat the procedure by initializing phonons from the heat sink

and calculate
$$E_{\text{in}}^{\text{C}}$$
 and $E_{\text{out}}^{\text{C}}$. The phonon flux is then given by $F = N_{\text{tot}} \frac{\left(E_{\text{in}}^{\text{H}} - E_{\text{out}}^{\text{H}}\right) - \left(E_{\text{in}}^{\text{C}} - E_{\text{out}}^{\text{C}}\right)}{N < TOF >}$, where N_{tot}

is the total number of phonons inside the device. The thermal conductivity is calculated using the heat flux through the medium for a given thermal gradient ∇T by applying Fourier's law as $\kappa = F/\nabla T$. The size of the devices we consider is 100nm x 500nm x 1µm, and the simulation time for the thermal conductivity of each device is around 8 hours on a single central processing unit (CPU).

Note that the single-phonon approach we follow differs from the multi-phonon approaches described in the literature. In the multi-phonon approaches, a certain number of phonons are initialized at once according to the Bose-Einstein distribution and injected in the channel. The trajectories of all phonons are traced simultaneously at every time step Δt and the energy and temperature of all cells are also updated every Δt . The net flux upon convergence is computed at any interface perpendicular to the transport direction simply by calculating the net rate

at which phonons cross that interface. In our single phonon approach, however, since we do not have access to all phonons travelling in the channel at all times, the flux is calculated by determining the average flux due to one phonon crossing the entire domain, and then multiplying by the total number of phonons, as described above. While initially (before steady state) this approach does not correspond to the real physical situation in which multiple phonons travel simultaneously across the channel, at steady state is works as expected. The main advantage of the single-particle scheme is that it requires much less memory as it keeps track of only one phonon at a time, which is beneficial in complex structures as the ones we consider, where the number of cells N_{cells} can reach very large numbers.

The simulator is initially calibrated to the measured thermal conductivity of bulk Si between 50K and 500K as shown in Fig. 2. For the bulk calibration, after the MC simulation, an important post-processing step related to the mean-free-path (MFPs) needs to be performed. Low wavevector k, (or long wavelength) phonons dominate transport, or at least control a large part of it, especially at low temperatures. The MFPs of these phonons can be much larger than the simulated device length, which is 1 µm for the devices we consider [9]. The limited domain length, therefore, imposes an additional series resistance on the flow of these phonons. For a correct comparison of our results to bulk Si measurements, in which case the dimensions are infinitely long, the simulated thermal conductivity needs to be scaled as described in Refs [9, 10] by $\kappa_{\text{bulk}} = \kappa_{\text{L}} (L + \lambda)/L$, where κ_{L} is the calculated thermal conductivity, L is the channel length, and λ is the bulk phonon MFP at a specific temperature taken from Ref. [9]. κ_{bulk} will then be the resultant thermal conductivity, the one to be compared to the bulk measurements. This method essentially removes the contact series resistance from the MC calculation results. This scaling step is particularly important for bulk calibration in finite domain simulation of phonons, because the MFPs of phonons in Si vary from several micrometers (even up to millimeters) for low frequencies, to 100s of nanometers around the frequencies in the middle of the spectrum, down to nanometers for high frequencies [11]. As shown by Jeong et al., in bulk Si more than 50% of the heat is carried by phonons with MFPs longer than 1µm, with the average phonon MFP at room temperature being ~132nm [9]. Therefore, those long MFP phonons that carry more than 50% of the heat are strongly affected by the finite size of our domain. Note that this scaling is only relevant for the calibration to bulk data, whereas it is not needed for the simulation of nanomeshes since in that case the MFP is dominated by the pores in the channel, and the influence of the finite domain length is small.

In general, our results (red-crossed line) are in good agreement with measured bulk data (green-dashed line), and the simulations by Lacroix *et* al. [5] (black triangles) as shown in Fig. 2. Our results slightly underestimate the thermal conductivity at temperatures above 100K, (at room temperature we achieve $\kappa = 113$ W/mK compared to $\kappa = 140$ W/mK for bulk Si). Several reasons might be responsible for this, i.e. the rather simplified bandstructure model, the simplified scaling of the long wavelength phonons, possible inaccuracies in the MFPs provided in Ref. [9] (or inaccuracies caused by us in the extraction of those MFPs), but also the finite domain length of the simulation again since we observe increasing thermal conductivity values as we increase the channel length from 1µm to 5µm. We do not expect this deviation, however, to affect the calculation results for the nanomeshes qualitatively or

quantitatively, as the MFP in that case is limited by the pores in the material, and not by the length of the simulation domain.



Fig. 2: Thermal conductivity of bulk Si. Measurements are shown by the dashed-greed line. Simulations results from Ref. [5] are shown by the black triangles. Simulation results of this work are shown by the red-crossed line.

Results and Discussion

We calculate the thermal conductivity in nanomeshes of three different hole arrangements: rectangular, hexagonal, and random. Fig. 3 shows examples of the simulated device geometries, including examples of as much as 100 phonon trajectories in each structure. An interesting effect can be observed in the phonon trajectories. Although the motion of phonons is randomized in the randomized pore geometries (Fig. 3c), there is evidence that this is not the case in the rectangular and hexagonal geometries. Instead, preferential phonon paths exist in the rectangular (Fig. 3a) and hexagonal structures (Fig. 3b). In the case of rectangular structures phonons tend to follow straight paths, whereas in the hexagonal devices phonons tend to follow diagonal paths, which are the directions of least phonon resistance. As we will discuss below, since the rectangular geometry provides paths of least resistance along the phonon transport direction, the thermal conductivity in this geometry tends to be higher than that of the hexagonal devices. Although below we only show a few phonon paths from the million paths we simulate, this behavior is representative of all phonon statistics. To quantify this, we have computed the deviation in the phonon paths along the width of the channel (i.e. the direction perpendicular to the phonon transport direction) for all phonon trajectories we simulate ($\sim 10^6$). This indicates how much the phonons deviate from the straight line going from the hot to the cold reservoir when they travel from their point of creation to their point of thermalization. Indeed, the deviation in the hexagonal devices is larger by $\sim 20\%$, indicating that the phonons in hexagonal structures tend to spread more, or travel more in a zig-zag fashion compared to the phonons in the rectangular structure, which tend to propagate in more straight pathways. Thus, phonons in hexagonal structures tend to travel larger distances, which makes the thermal conductivity in these geometries consistently lower than the thermal conductivity in rectangular geometries and even in randomized geometries, as we show below.



Fig. 3: Nanoporous devices with (a) rectangular, (b) hexagonal and (c) random arrangement of holes. The green lines indicate 100 trajectories of phonons, which were initialized at the top contact (source). Phonons undergo three-phonon and boundary scattering events before that reach the bottom contact (heat sink).

The data in Fig. 4 present simulation results for the thermal conductivity in the nanomeshes examined. The thermal conductivity is plotted as a function of porosity Φ and results for structures with different boundary specularity parameters are shown (p=1 denotes fully specular boundaries, whereas p=0.1 almost fully diffusive boundaries). In Fig. 4a the red lines represent the results for the nanomeshes with rectangular arrangement of the pores and the blue lines the results for the nanomeshes with hexagonal arrangement of the pores. Fig. 4b shows the results for nanomeshes in which the pores are arranged randomly. In the latter case, the standard deviation is denoted by the error bars. In all cases the diameter of the pores is fixed at 50 nm. In the case of random pore arrangements, each point is the average of 100 different geometrical configurations.

Two main conclusions can be deduced from this figure: i) The hexagonal and the randomized arrangements yield somewhat lower thermal conductivities compared to the rectangular ones. As explained above, this is attributed to the fact that the rectangular arrangement provides straight paths between the two reservoirs for the phonons to travel, in contrast to the other two arrangements. ii) As expected, the thermal conductivity decreases monotonically with increasing porosity in all three types of geometries of different pore arrangements. In the case of random pore arrangement (Fig. 4b), a smooth, linear reduction is observed with increasing porosity. As the porosity increases, the pores come closer to each other, and the MFP for pore scattering decreases in proportion to the pore separation, which linearly reduces the thermal conductivity. A similarly smooth reduction is more or less observed for the rectangular pore geometry as well (red lines in Fig. 4a). Interestingly, however, in the hexagonal pore arrangement (blue lines in Fig. 4a), and especially under diffuse phonon-boundary scattering, most of the reduction is observed up to a porosity of 35%. Increasing the porosity from 0% to 35% results in a factor of ~4x reduction in the thermal conductivity reduction. Although this effect is still under investigation, a possible explanation is that the hexagonal geometry which imposes obstacles with pores being placed directly in the phonon transport path,

randomizes the phonon trajectories and scatters phonons more effectively compared to the random or rectangular arrangements, and thus the thermal conductivity is reduced more. At low porosities all geometries have the same thermal conductivity. A stronger reduction, though, is observed for the hexagonal geometries at smaller porosities due to this stronger phonon path randomization. At larger porosities (larger than 35%), and especially for rough boundaries, it seems that phonon trajectories are randomized similarly in all geometries (the phonon MFP for scattering is similar for all, determined by the average distance between the pores), and the thermal conductivity is similar for all cases (see right sides of Fig. 4a and 4b). Therefore, as the starting and ending points for all geometries are the same on the thermal conductivity versus porosity figure, but the hexagonal structures reduce thermal conductivity more for smaller porosities, a 'knee' seems to form for the hexagonal structure data in Fig. 4a (blue lines). The thermal conductivity still continues to drop for porosities larger than 35%, but at a relatively smaller degree compared to structures with smaller porosities.

Specifically for the geometries consisting of randomized pores, after extracting the statistics from 100 different sample devices with random hole arrangements for each porosity value we find that: i) Under the same porosity conditions, increasing the roughness strength by an order of magnitude (from p=1 down to p=0.1), reduces the thermal conductivity by only ~40% (see the difference between the upper and lower lines in Fig. 4b). ii) Under the same roughness conditions, however, it takes only 10-20% increase in the porosity to reduce the thermal conductivity by the same amount (~40%) (see Fig. 4b). In addition, we find that with increasing porosity the roughness strength becomes less effective in determining the thermal conductivity, indicating the relative importance of the porosity over the roughness. For example, at 50% porosity, increasing the roughness of the pores from 50% diffusive (p=0.5) to 90% diffusive (p=0.1), changes the thermal conductivity only marginally.



Fig. 4: The thermal conductivity as a function of porosity. Cases for pore surface roughness specularity parameter p=1 (specular), p=0.5 (50% specular), and p=0.1 (90% diffusive) are shown. In (a) blue lines denote hexagonal pore arrangements, whereas red lines denote rectangular pore arrangements. (b) Randomized pore arrangements. 100 samples are simulated for each data point. The 'error bars' indicate the spread in the distribution of the thermal conductivity of these samples.

Conclusions

In this work we present a Monte Carlo simulator for the calculation of heat transport in Si nanomeshes and a consequent study of the thermal conductivity in these structures under different geometrical constraints. We show that hexagonal arranged pores in nanomeshes could achieve lower thermal conductivities compared to rectangular arranged pores. We also show that the influence of porosity on the thermal conductivity is much stronger compared to the influence of the boundary roughness. In the case of hexagonal geometries of pore arrangement, a larger thermal conductivity reduction is observed for smaller porosities (up to 35%) compared to the rectangular or randomized pore arrangements. For largest porosities all phonons are randomized by a similar amount, and the thermal conductivity is reduced to similar values.

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) contract P25368-N30. The authors acknowledge helpful discussions with Dr. Hossein Karamitaheri.

References

[1]. J.-K. Yu, S. Mitrovic, D. Tham, J. Varghese and J. R. Heath, Reduction of Thermal Conductivity in Phononic Nanomesh Structures, Nature Nanotechnology, 5 (10), 718-721, 2010

[2] J. Tang, H.-T. Wang, D. H. Lee, M. Fardy, Z. Huo, T. P. Russell and P. Yang, Holey Silicon as an Efficient Thermoelectric Material, Nano Letters, Vol. 10(10), 4279-4283, 2010

[3] P. E. Hopkins, C. M. Reinke, M. F. Su, R. H. Olsson III, E. A. Shaner, Z. C. Leseman, J. R. Serrano, L. M. Phinney, and I. E. Kady, "Reduction in the Thermal Conductivity of Single Crystalline Silicon by Phononic Crystal Patterning," Nano Lett., 11, 1, 107-112, 2011

[4] S. Mazumder and A. Majumdar, Monte Carlo Study of Phonon Transport in Solid Thin Films Including Dispersion and Polarization, J. Heat Transfer 123, 749, 2001

[5] D. Lacroix, K. Joulain and D. Lemonnier, Monte Carlo transient phonon transport in silicon and germanium at nanoscales, Phys. Rev. B 72, 064305, 2005

[6] http://www.globaltcad.com

[7] M. G. Holland, Analysis of Lattice Thermal Conductivity, Phys. Rev., 132, 6, 2461-2471, 1963

[8] E. Pop, R. W. Dutton and K. E. Goodson, Analytic band Monte Carlo model for electron transport in Si including acoustic and optical phonon dispersion, J. Appl. Phys 96, 4998, 2004

[9] C. Jeong, S. Datta, M. Lundstrom, Thermal conductivity of bulk and thin-film silicon: A Landauer approach, J. Appl. Phys. 111, 093708, 2012

[10] M-H. Bae, Z. Li, Z. Aksamija, P.N. Martin, F. Xiong, Z-Y. Ong, I. Knezevic and E. Pop, Ballistic to diffusive crossover of heat flow in graphene ribbons, Nature Comm., 4, 1734, 2013.

[11] Esfarjani, G. Chen, and H. Stokes, Phys. Rev. B, 84, 085204, 2011.